Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,770	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Harvey	2833
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) <u>James R. Harvey</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Jill DeMello</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 April 2005	Time: <u>11:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 12-2-04 rejection	¥ •	
Claims discussed: 1-11		
Prior art documents discussed: 5879178		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreed to amend the claim language to make unobviouse over the prior art of record.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
\mathcal{M}		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	gnature – if appropriate)